Trends in quick-service restaurants near public schools in the United States: Differences by community, school and student characteristics

Deborah A. Olarte, PhD, RDN, Joshua Petimar, ScD, Peter James, ScD, Kristen Cooksey Stowers, PhD, Sean B. Cash, PhD, Eric B. Rimm, ScD, Christina D. Economos, PhD, Jeffrey C. Blossom, MA, Marlaina Rohmann, MPH, Yuting Chen, PhD, Rinki Deo, PhD, Juliana F.W. Cohen, ScD

PII: S2212-2672(23)00057-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2023.01.016

Reference: JAND 55630

To appear in: Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics

Received Date: 11 July 2022

Revised Date: 12 January 2023

Accepted Date: 31 January 2023

Please cite this article as: Olarte DA, Petimar J, James P, Stowers KC, Cash SB, Rimm EB, Economos CD, Blossom JC, Rohmann M, Chen Y, Deo R, Cohen JFW, Trends in quick-service restaurants near public schools in the United States: Differences by community, school and student characteristics, *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2023.01.016.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Copyright © 2023 by the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics.



**Title of the manuscript:** Trends in quick-service restaurants near public schools in the United States: Differences by community, school and student characteristics

**Keywords:** Childhood nutrition; School health; Quick-service restaurants; Geographic information systems; Race and income

Abstract word count: 328

**Text word count:** 3837

### Author contact information:

### Deborah A. Olarte, PhD, RDN

- Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Merrimack College, School of Health Sciences,
   Department of Nutrition and Public Health, Center for Health Inclusion, Research and
   Practice, 315 Turnpike Street, North Andover, MA 01845, USA, olarted@merrimack.edu
- ORCID: 0000-0003-0133-4793

# Joshua Petimar, ScD

- Research Scientist, Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute, 401 Park Drive, Suite 401, East Boston, MA 02215, USA, jsp778@mail.harvard.edu
- ORCID: 0000-0002-3025-5931

# Peter James, ScD

- Associate Professor, Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute, 401 Park Drive, Suite 401, East Boston, MA 02215, USA, peterjames7@gmail.com
- Assistant Professor, Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA, pjames@hsph.harvard.edu
- ORCID: 0000-0002-2858-1973

### Kristen Cooksey Stowers, PhD

- Assistant Professor, Allied Health Sciences, Rudd Center for Food Policy and Health, University of Connecticut, One Constitution Plaza, Suite 600, Hartford, CT 06103, USA, kristen.cooksey@uconn.edu
- ORCID: 0000-0001-7988-8011

# Sean B. Cash, PhD

- Associate Professor, Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy, Tufts University, 150 Harrison Avenue, Boston, MA 02111, USA, Sean.Cash@tufts.edu
- ORCID: 0000-0002-7561-3392

### Eric B. Rimm, ScD

- Professor, Departments of Epidemiology and Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA, erimm@hsph.harvard.edu
- Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, 75 Francis Street, Boston, MA 02115, USA, erimm@hsph.harvard.edu
- ORCID: N/A

# Christina D. Economos, PhD

- Professor, Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy, Tufts University, 150
   Harrison Avenue, Boston, MA 02111, USA, Christina.Economos@tufts.edu
- ORCID: N/A

# Jeffrey C. Blossom, MA

- GIS Services Manager, Center for Geographic Analysis, Harvard University, 1737 Cambridge Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA, jblossom@cga.harvard.edu
- ORCID: N/A

# Marlaina Rohmann, MPH

Research Assistant, Department of Nutrition and Public Health, Merrimack College, 315
 Turnpike Street, North Andover, MA 01845, USA, rohmannm@merrimack.edu

- Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA, mrohmann@hsph.harvard.edu
- ORCID: N/A

### Yuting Chen, PhD

- GIS Services, Center for Geographic Analysis, Harvard University, 1737 Cambridge Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA, yutingchen@link.cuhk.edu.hk
- ORCID: 0000-0002-3384-8062

# Rinki Deo, PhD

- GIS Services, Center for Geographic Analysis, Harvard University, 1737 Cambridge Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA rinkideo@gmail.com
- ORCID: N/A

# Juliana F.W. Cohen, ScD

- Associate Professor, Department of Public Health and Nutrition, School of Health
   Sciences, Merrimack College, 315 Turnpike Street, North Andover, MA, 01845, USA,
   T: 978-837-5456, cohenj@merrimack.edu
- Adjunct Associate Professor, Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA, T: 978-604-5896; F: 617-432-2435, jcohen@hsph.harvard.edu
- ORCID: 0000-0002-3145-6014

### Author contributions:

JFWC and MR conceived this study. MR, PJ, JP, and ER developed the study design. JB, YC and RD collected the data. JFWC and DAO conducted the analysis and JP and PJ assisted with interpretation of the data. DAO led the writing. JFWC, JP, PJ, KCS, SC, ER, CE, JB, MR, YC, and RD provided critical feedback on the manuscript.

Corresponding Author/Reprint Contact: Deborah A. Olarte, PhD, RDN

**Funding/Financial Disclosures:** This study was funded by a grant (K01DK107810) from the National Institutes of Health (Cohen). The study sponsors did not have any role in the study design; collection, analysis and interpretation of the data; writing the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication. The authors have no financial disclosures.

Conflict of interest disclosures: The authors have no conflicts of interest.

### 1 RESEARCH SNAPSHOT

2 **Research Question:** Has the number of quick-service restaurants (QSRs) in proximity to United

3 States public schools changed over time by community, school, and student-level characteristics?

- 4 **Key Findings:** This study examined trends in QSR proximity to public schools from 2006-2018.
- 5 Over time, the number of QSRs increased near schools with high school students, near schools
- 6 with a higher percentage of Black or African American and Hispanic or Latino students and near

7 schools with a higher percentage of students from low-income backgrounds.

Journal

8	Trends in quick-service restaurants near public schools in the United States: Differences by
9	community, school, and student characteristics
10	
11	ABSTRACT
12	Background. More than a third of children and adolescents consume foods from quick-service
13	restaurants (QSRs) daily, which is associated with an increased risk of diet-related adverse health
14	conditions.
15	Objective. To examine trends in the proximity of top-selling QSR chains to all public schools
16	across the United States (US) between 2006-2018 by community, school, and student-level
17	characteristics.
18	Design. This longitudinal study examined changes in the number QSRs between the 2006-2007
19	and 2017-2018 school years using data from National Center for Education Statistics, Infogroup
20	US Historical Business Data, and the US Department of Agriculture's Economic Research
21	Service.
22	Statistical analyses performed. A mixed-model ANOVA using census tract as a random effect
23	and accounting for repeated measures by school was used to examine the proximity of QSRs
24	near schools. Models adjusted for demographic and census tract population density. Data were
25	analyzed in 2021.
26	Results. In 2006, 9% of schools had QSRs within 400m, and 25% of schools in the most
27	populated areas had at least one QSR within 400m. There were more QSRs near schools with a
28	high percentage of poverty (12%), and near schools with high school students with the highest
29	population of Black or African American (16%) and Hispanic or Latino (18%) students. By

30 2018, the percent of QSRs within 400m of all public schools increased to 12%. The increase over

31 time was greater near schools with a high percentage of poverty (16%) and near schools with 32 high school students with the highest population of Black or African American students (22%) 33 and Hispanic or Latino (23%) students. 34 **Conclusions.** This is the first nationwide study to examine trends in QSR proximity to all public 35 schools. QSRs were most likely to be located near schools with high school students, near 36 schools with a high percentage of poverty, and near schools with a higher proportion of racial 37 and ethnic minority students. Over time, there were greater increases in QSRs near these schools 38 which may have important implications for children's health and diet-related disparities. 39

ournalprert

### 40 **INTRODUCTION**

41 Over the last half century, consumption of fast food from quick-service restaurants (QSRs) has increased, paralleling rates of overweight and obesity among children and adults.<sup>1-4</sup> Because 42 43 many children, especially adolescents, purchase food outside of school, the proximity of QSRs to 44 schools may play a role in contributing to the obesity epidemic. A recent systematic review and 45 meta-analysis found that greater access to QSRs was associated with children and adolescents' 46 consumption of fast food.<sup>5</sup> On any given day, more than a third of children and adolescents ages 2-19 years consume ultra-processed foods that are engineered to be hyper-palatable, profitable 47 and convenient, such as pizza, fries, desserts, and sugar-sweetened beverages.<sup>1, 4-8</sup> Food items 48 49 such as these may contribute to an increased risk of childhood obesity and a lifetime risk of chronic diseases.<sup>9,10</sup> Furthermore, school proximity to QSRs is associated with greater BMI and 50 poorer dietary patterns among children.<sup>11-14</sup> 51

52

Preliminary studies in urban areas (i.e., Los Angeles, New York City, and Boston) have found 53 54 roughly a quarter of public schools have at least one QSR within 400m, although little is known about other cities or schools in suburban or rural regions.<sup>11,12,15</sup> However, environments that are 55 laden with unhealthy food choices influence children's eating habits.<sup>16</sup> Students with QSRs 56 within a half-mile radius (800m) from school (an approximate 10-minute walk) are more likely 57 58 to be overweight and eat fewer fruits and vegetables compared to students in schools that are not near QSRs.<sup>13,14</sup> On any given day, consumption of food from QSRs is associated with a greater 59 net caloric intake in children and adolescents' by an average of 126 and 301 calories, 60 respectively.<sup>17</sup> 61

62

63	Children and adolescents are influenced by their surrounding food environments and the
64	demographic make-up of a community dictates the exposure to healthy or unhealthy
65	environments. <sup>16,18-20</sup> Historically marginalized populations (i.e., students from low-income
66	and/or from predominantly racial and ethnic minority areas) have greater exposure to empty-
67	calorie, low-nutrient foods due to greater geographic proximity to QSRs, compared to students
68	who are White or from a high-income background. <sup>19-23</sup> The risk of obesity and chronic diseases,
69	such as type 2 diabetes and heart disease is higher in these populations. <sup>3,24</sup> Adolescents are
70	particularly at risk from the potentially close proximity of QSRs near their schools as they
71	frequently eat at those establishments. <sup>14,19-23,25,26</sup>
72	
73	Few studies have assessed QSRs proximity to schools outside of major United States (US) cities.
74	Moreover, few have compared trends over time. Only one study examined the food
75	environments that surround public middle and high schools in the US. <sup>25</sup> Additionally, there is
76	limited research examining the proximity of QSRs to schools with a greater proportion of
77	students from low-income and/or predominantly racial and ethnic minority areas. Therefore, the
78	purpose of this study was to examine changes in the number of QSR establishments (based on
79	the top ten QSR chains in the US) in proximity to all public schools between 2006-2018 by
80	school- and community-level characteristics. It was hypothesized that there would be an increase
81	in QSRs from baseline, particularly among schools with greater poverty levels and/or a greater
82	percentage of students who were racial or ethnic minorities.
83	
84	METHODS
85	Study Sample

86 School Data

87 Using data from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) the number of all schools across the US and their respective demographics over 12 school years (2006-2007 through 2017-88 2018) were examined.<sup>27</sup> Information on the grade levels categorizing school type (i.e., 89 90 elementary, middle, kindergarten through twelfth grade [K-12], middle/high school, and 91 primary/middle school [K-8]), school geographic coordinates, their respective lowest and highest grades, and basic demographics for public schools in the US were compiled.<sup>27</sup> Private schools. 92 juvenile detention centers, schools that were missing identifying information, and schools with 93 94 values that were considered implausible or potentially unreliable were excluded (i.e., schools 95 listed with a population density of zero or schools with a percent poverty change of 20% or higher in less than three years during the study period). Out of the total number of schools 96 97 examined (N=81,633) in the 2006-2007 school year, a total of 1,535 schools were excluded. In the analyses examining the change in QSRs over time, a total of 634 schools that closed during 98 this time were excluded. 99

100

101 Food Establishment Data

Infogroup US Historical Business Data compiles all business names and their respective
latitudinal and longitudinal coordinates throughout the US and is updated on a yearly basis.<sup>28</sup>
Each business is classified by a North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code.<sup>29</sup>
NAICS codes were used to extract the nation's top-ten QSRs with the highest national sales
between 2007-2018 (i.e., McDonalds, Burger King, Starbucks, Dunkin Donuts, Pizza Hut,
Subway, Taco Bell, KFC, Chick-Fil-A, and Wendy's [NAICS code 722513]).<sup>29</sup> These 10 QSRs

- 108 represented approximately 51.6% of all QSRs in 2018.<sup>30,31</sup> For the twelve school years, all
- 109 school locations and QSRs were mapped using ArcGIS Pro version 2.2 (ESRI Redlands, CA).<sup>32</sup>
- 110

### 111 Measures

112 Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes

Rural-Urban Commuting Area (RUCA) Codes were acquired from the US Department of
Agriculture's Economic Research Service. RUCA Codes classify US census tracts through
measures of population density, urbanization, and commuting and are published in tabular
format. The RUCA table was linked to census tract shapefiles downloaded from the National
Historic GIS.<sup>33</sup> A spatial join overlay analysis was applied from each school to the census tracts,
determining the tract the school fell into and the related RUCA code.

119

### 120 Demographic Variables

121 The percentage of the student population by race and ethnicity was calculated using data 122 provided by NCES. NCES classifies students by both ethnicity (i.e., Hispanic or Latino and non-123 Hispanic) and race (i.e., Asian, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, Black or African 124 American, American Indian or Alaska Native, White, or more than one race). Students whose 125 ethnicity was classified as Hispanic or Latino were not included in the race categories for this 126 study. Due to the small percentage of students who were classified as Native Hawaiian or Other 127 Pacific Islander (<1%), this category was combined with the percentage of students who were 128 classified as Asian. Similarly, because less than 1% of students in the dataset were classified as 129 having more than one race, they were not included in the final dataset. Social Explorer was used 130 to download and identify the socioeconomic characteristics of the communities surrounding the

schools, including poverty data (i.e., the percentage of families at or below the poverty level
within a given year) from the US Census Bureau, American Community Survey at the census
tract level.<sup>34</sup> The poverty data were matched to each school for each school year. This study was
determined exempt by the XX Institutional Review Board.

### 135 Statistical Analysis

136 Descriptive statistics were used to characterize all US public schools at baseline (2006-2007) 137 including school type (e.g., elementary, middle, high, etc.) and student race and ethnicity, as well 138 as census tract characteristics of the area surrounding the schools (i.e., urbanicity, poverty and 139 population density). The percentage of schools with QSRs within 400m was also calculated. 140 Quartiles by the percentage of students' race and ethnicity and family poverty were calculated 141 with the highest quartile (Q4) having the greatest percentage. States were separated into four regions based on the US Census Bureau, Census Regions and Divisions.<sup>35</sup> Multi-level ANOVA 142 143 accounting for clustering of observations (census tract as a random effect using SAS PROC 144 MIXED) was used to examine cross-sectional differences in the number of QSRs in proximity to 145 public schools by race and ethnicity, poverty, and school type for the 2006-2007 school year. 146 Population density and urbanicity were examined as covariates in the models; due to 147 multicollinearity (assessed by examining the variance inflation factor [VIF]), urbanicity was not 148 included in the final models.

149

To examine changes in the number of QSR locations near schools over time between 2006 and 2018, a mixed model ANOVA was used, with census tract as a random effect and accounted for repeated measures by school (using SAS PROC MIXED). Models were adjusted for school demographics (i.e., racial and ethnic composition of schools and percent poverty within the

154 school) and census tract population density. Students' racial and ethnic composition in schools 155 was adjusted for (specifically the percentage of students who were Black or African American 156 and Hispanic or Latino) because prior research has suggested that there are more OSRs located 157 closer to certain racial and ethnic groups, in comparison to schools with a predominantly White student body and communities surrounding schools.<sup>36,37</sup> An interaction term between year and 158 159 percent poverty, as well as between year and race and ethnicity were also examined in secondary 160 analyses. Multilevel model assumptions (functional form, normality, and homoscedasticity) were tested and there was no evidence of a violation of such assumptions.<sup>38</sup> P-values were considered 161 162 significant at the 0.05 level. The analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC.39 163

164

### 165 **RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics by school type for the 2006-2007 school year (n=80,098). Most schools were in urban areas. The mean percentage of families at or below the poverty level within a given year ranged from approximately 11% ( $\pm$  10%) to 14% ( $\pm$  12%). On average, 53% ( $\pm$  38%) to 69% ( $\pm$  34%) of students in the public schools included in the study were classified as White. During the 2006-2007 school year there was a mean of 0.1 ( $\pm$  0.5) to 0.2  $\pm$  (0.8) QSRs within 400m of public schools. Additionally, during the same school year, there was a mean of 0.5 ( $\pm$  1.2) to 0.7 ( $\pm$  1.6) QSRs within 800m of public schools.

174 The results of the cross-sectional analysis for the 2006-2007 school year are presented in Table

175 2. The Northeast region of the US had the highest mean number of QSRs within 800m of schools

176 (0.71; [SE: 0.03]). Race and ethnicity were statistically significantly associated with the number

177	of QSRs in proximity to schools. Schools in the highest quartile of percentage of Hispanic or
178	Latino students had on average more QSRs within 400m compared with schools in the lowest
179	quartile (0.18 vs. 0.15 [SE: 0.01]; <i>p</i> =.0003), and on average more QSRs within 800m (0.68 vs.
180	0.57 [SE: 0.02 vs. 0.57]; $p < .0001$ ). Among schools with the highest proportion of Black or
181	African American students, no statistically significant differences were observed in the mean
182	number of QSRs within 400m or 800m compared with schools in the lowest quartile.
183	Additionally, schools with high school students had statistically significantly more QSRs
184	compared to elementary schools. Specifically, high schools had a mean of 0.19 more QSRs
185	within 400m of schools [SE: 0.01; $p < .0001$ ] and a mean of 0.70 more QSRs within 800m of
186	schools [SE:0.01; $p < .0001$ ]) within their respective 400m and 800m buffer zones compared with
187	elementary schools.

188

189 In secondary analyses examining the percent of schools with QSRs within 400m during the 190 2006-2007 school year, 9% of all schools were located near QSRs (range 0-24). Specifically, 8% 191 of elementary schools were near QSRs and 10% of schools with high school students (K-12, 192 middle/high, and high schools) were near QSRs during the 2006-2007 school year (Figure 1). 193 Twelve percent of schools in high poverty areas were within 400m of QSRs compared with 8% 194 of schools in the lowest poverty areas. Additionally, 25% of schools in areas with the highest 195 population density were located near QSRs compared with less than 1% of schools in the least 196 dense areas (0.9%). When examining differences by race/ethnicity, 13% of schools with the 197 highest proportion of Hispanic or Latino students, and 12% of schools with the highest 198 proportion of Black or African American students were near QSRs compared with 6% of schools 199 with the lowest proportion of students who were racial or ethnic minorities. When specifically

examining schools with high school students, 18% of schools with a higher proportion of
Hispanic or Latino students and 16% of schools with a higher proportion of Black or African
American students were within 400m of QSRs compared with 6% of schools with the lowest
proportion of students who were Black or African American or Hispanic or Latino.

204

205 Table 3 shows the annual change in the number of QSRs near schools throughout the US from 206 the 2006-2007 school year through the 2017-2018 school year. There was a mean of 0.003 (95% 207 CI: 0.003-0.004; p < .0001) more QSRs within 400m of all public schools per year (i.e., a mean 208 increase of 0.036 QSRs over the twelve-year time period). When examining differences by 209 region, there was a mean difference of 0.03 to 0.06 fewer QSRs per year within 400m of schools 210 during this time period in the Midwest, South, and West compared with the Northeast. Race and 211 ethnicity continued to be associated with the number of QSRs in proximity to schools over time. 212 There were on average 0.008 (95% CI: 0.002-0.01; p=.004) more QSRs per year within 400m of 213 schools in the highest quartile of percentage of Black or African American students in the 214 schools compared to schools in the lowest quartile of percentage of Black or African American 215 students. Similarly, over this time period there was a mean of 0.05 (95% CI: 0.04-0.06; p < .0001) 216 more QSRs per year within 400m of schools in the highest quartile of percentage of Hispanic or 217 Latino students in the schools compared to schools in the lowest quartile (0.01 [95% CI: 0.008-218 (0.02; p < .0001]). Additionally, compared with elementary schools, there were more QSRs within 219 400m of middle schools, K-8, K-12, middle/high schools and high schools. Specifically, near 220 high schools, there were on average, 0.11 (95% CI: 0.10-0.11; p < .0001) more QSRs within 221 400m. There were no statistically significant differences observed between the lowest and 222 highest quartiles of poverty.

224	In secondary analyses examining the change in percentage of schools with QSRs within 400m
225	over this time, there was a 3-percentage point increase in the percent of schools near QSRs (i.e.,
226	12% of schools in 2017-2018 compared with 9% in 2006-2007 [data not shown]). There was a 4-
227	percentage point increase in QSRs near schools in the highest poverty areas (i.e., 16% of schools
228	were within 400m of QSRs in 2017-2018), and a 9-percentage point increase in QSRs near
229	schools in areas with the greatest population density (i.e., 34% of schools were within 400m of
230	QSRs in 2017-2018).
231	
232	Online Supplementary Table 4 examines the interaction between time and race and ethnicity and
233	poverty. Overall, there were statistically significant interactions by race and ethnicity and percent
234	poverty (p<.0001). The mean annual increase was greater for schools in the highest quartile of
235	Black or African American students (0.002 QSRs per year [95% CI: 0.0008-0.003; $p=.0006$ ])
236	and Hispanic or Latino students (0.006 QSRs per year [95% CI: 0.004-0.007; p<.0001])
237	compared to schools in the lowest quartile of percentage of Black or African American and
238	Hispanic or Latino students. By the 2017-2018 school year, 17% of schools with the highest
239	percentage of Black or African American and Hispanic or Latino students were within 400m of
240	QSRs, representing an overall 5-percentage point increase (compared with 12% of these schools
241	in 2006-2007) and an overall 4-percentage point increase among schools with the greatest
242	percentage of Hispanic or Latino students (compared with 13% of these schools in 2006-2007).
243	Conversely, during this time, there was only a 2-percentage point increase among schools with
244	the greatest percentage of White students (7% in 2017-2018 versus 5% in 2006-2007). Similar
245	differences by race and ethnicity were observed when limiting to only high school students

(Figure 2). There was a 6-percentage point increase among schools with a higher proportion of
Black or African American high school students (22% of schools in 2017-2018 compared with
16% of schools in 2006-2007) and a 5-percentage point increase among schools with a higher
proportion of Hispanic or Latino high school students (23% of schools in 2017-2018 compared
with 18% in 2006-2007). During this same time period, there was only a 2-percentage point
increase among schools with the highest percentage of White students during this same time
period (7% in 2017-2018 versus 7% in 2006-2007).

253

254 Additionally, in these analyses there was a statistically significant interaction in the quartile with the highest poverty (0.002 [95% CI: 0.001-0.003; p=.0001]) highlighting that the mean increase 255 256 in QSRs within 400m of schools was greater in communities with high poverty. There was a 4-257 percentage point increase in the percent of schools near QSRs in the highest poverty areas (16% 258 of schools in 2017-2018 versus 12% in 2006-2007) compared with a 2-percentage point increase 259 in schools in the lowest poverty areas (10% of schools in 2017-2018 versus 8% in 2006-2007). 260 When specifically examining schools with high school students, there was a 6-percentage point 261 increase in the percent of schools near QSRs in the highest poverty areas (20% of schools in 262 2017-2018 versus 14% in 2006-2007) compared with a 3-percentage point increase in schools in 263 the lowest poverty areas (13% of schools in 2017-2018 versus 10% of schools in 2006-2007).

264

### 265 **DISCUSSION**

This study examined the change in the number of QSRs in proximity to all US public schools by region, community and school-level and student characteristics. In cross-sectional models, there were on average more QSRs near schools with adolescents (e.g., high schools and K-12 schools)

and schools with a greater percentage of students who were Hispanic or Latino. Over time, there was an increase in the number of QSRs within 400m of schools from 2006 to 2018. This increase is a 3-percentage point increase in the percent of US schools near QSRs, with over 1 in 10 public schools within 400m of QSRs by the 2017-2018 school year. Importantly, these increases were even greater in high poverty areas (i.e., an average 4-percentage point increase) and in areas with higher population densities (i.e., an average 9-percentage point increase) with over a third of schools in areas with the highest population density near QSRs.

276

277 Disparities by race and ethnicity also were observed during this time period with an average 5percentage point increase in the percentage of schools with a greater proportion of Black or 278 279 African American or Hispanic or Latino students near QSRs. By 2017-2018, nearly 1 in 5 280 schools with a higher proportion of Black or African American high school students and almost 281 1 in 4 schools with a higher proportion of Hispanic or Latino high school students were within 282 400m of QSRs. This poses meaningful, real-world implications as the data indicate an increase 283 of QSRs near schools, particularly in underserved neighborhoods; this may have the potential to 284 influence the eating habits of children and adolescents and contribute to dietary disparities. 285

These results are consistent with a previous study conducted by James and colleagues that analyzed the changes in the food environment over 40 years (1971-2008) using data from the Framingham Heart Study in four Massachusetts towns.<sup>40</sup> Over this period, most food establishments increased. There were increases in density and proximity of QSRs to homes and workplaces and access to fast food increased over time for those in low poverty census tracts. The results are also consistent with studies that have previously examined food environments

292 that surround schools and examined associations between race and ethnicity, socioeconomic status and QSR proximity to schools in a few larger US cities.<sup>15,19,23,40,41</sup>A study conducted in 293 294 Los Angeles estimated that 23% of public schools had at least one OSR within 400m and 65% of schools had at least one OSR within 800m.<sup>15</sup> There was also a greater density of OSRs near high 295 296 schools than middle and elementary schools. Similarly, in New York City, at least 25% of public 297 schools had one QSR within 400m and in Boston, 84% of schools had at least one QSR within 800m.<sup>19,42</sup> Additionally, three studies found that Hispanic or Latino students are more likely to 298 attend schools in proximity to QSRs.<sup>23,24,37</sup> QSRs may be locating near schools with older 299 300 students, as adolescents have some financial resources, freedom in their food choices and ability to visit QSRs, in comparison to younger students.<sup>43,44</sup> 301

302

303 Previous research suggests that QSRs use targeted food marketing techniques to influence children who are from predominantly low-income and/or racial and ethnic areas.<sup>45,46</sup> In 2017, US 304 305 food companies spent over \$1 billion to advertise their food products to historically marginalized 306 populations.<sup>47</sup> Black or African American and Hispanic or Latino children and those from low-307 income backgrounds have viewed more QSR food advertisements than their White peers and QSRs in these areas have more unhealthy items on their children's menus.<sup>46</sup> This study found 308 309 that students who are adolescents, and/or in a low-income and/or predominantly racial and ethnic 310 minority area are more likely to attend school near a QSR. This could have important health 311 implications because previous research suggests that QSRs may be associated with unhealthy eating habits and health disparities among the nation's youth.<sup>1,4,7,8</sup> 312

313

214	This study had a second star when The 400 man 200 man second star of OSDs to a shead is shown a 5
314	This study had several strengths. The 400m or 800m proximity of QSRs to school is about a 5–
315	10-minute walk and most children could walk to these establishments. The time period covers
316	twelve school years and is longer than any similar study to date. This study also relied on data
317	from the ten largest QSR chains in the US. These ten are consistently available in all 50 states,
318	represent over half of all QSR locations across the country and had the highest national sales
319	between 2007 and 2018. <sup>30,31</sup> The use of buffer zones allowed for greater accuracy in modeling
320	the food establishments and their distances from schools. Also, the rich within-school data was
321	able to account for school- and community-level characteristics. Lastly, this study was nationally
322	comprehensive in that it analyzed changes in all school districts across the US for over a decade.
323	
323 324	This study also had limitations. The 400m and 800m proximity was based solely on distance
	This study also had limitations. The 400m and 800m proximity was based solely on distance from a school. It did not consider street networks. The dataset combined Asian with Native
324	
324 325	from a school. It did not consider street networks. The dataset combined Asian with Native
324 325 326	from a school. It did not consider street networks. The dataset combined Asian with Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. As Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islanders have been
324 325 326 327	from a school. It did not consider street networks. The dataset combined Asian with Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. As Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islanders have been recognized as a separate racial group, future studies should examine differences in the number of
<ul> <li>324</li> <li>325</li> <li>326</li> <li>327</li> <li>328</li> </ul>	from a school. It did not consider street networks. The dataset combined Asian with Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. As Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islanders have been recognized as a separate racial group, future studies should examine differences in the number of QSRs in these communities. This study did not include all regional chains and local/independent
<ul> <li>324</li> <li>325</li> <li>326</li> <li>327</li> <li>328</li> <li>329</li> </ul>	from a school. It did not consider street networks. The dataset combined Asian with Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. As Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islanders have been recognized as a separate racial group, future studies should examine differences in the number of QSRs in these communities. This study did not include all regional chains and local/independent QSRs across the US. This study did not include schools' open or closed-campus lunch policies or

# 333 CONCLUSION

This study examined the trends in the number of QSRs in proximity to nearly all public schools
in the US across twelve school years (2006-2007 through 2017-2018). This study found that the

number of QSRs has increased near schools with high school students, near schools with higher
poverty levels, and near schools in areas with high proportions of racial and ethnic minorities.
These results may have an important impact on childhood diet and health outcomes over time
and highlight the need to address inequitable food environments and health disparities that
continue to exist.

341

Future studies should examine inequitable food environments surrounding schools, open and 342 343 closed-campus lunch policies, the rate of student frequency at QSRs in proximity to their schools 344 and impact of QSR food consumption during the school day on students' diet and health 345 outcomes over time. Future studies should also consider all QSRs near schools including 346 regional, local and independent establishments and examine the impact of other proximal store 347 types (i.e., grocery and convenience stores) on student health outcomes. Lastly, future research 348 should examine the impact of zoning regulations that limit the number of QSRs near schools or 349 regulations that limit QSRs marketing to children. 350

### 351 **REFERENCES**

- Vikraman S, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Caloric intake from fast food among children and
   adolescents in the United States, 2011-2012. U.S. Department of Health and Human
- 354 Services, CDC, National Center for Health Statistics. *NCHS Data Brief* No. 213; 2015.
- 2. Fryar CD, Carroll MD, Afful J. Prevalence of overweight, obesity, and severe obesity
- among children and adolescents aged 2–19 years: United States, 1963–1965 through
- 357 2017–2018. NCHS Health E-Stats; 2020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/e582042012-001</u>
- 358 3. Skinner AC, Ravanbakht SN, Skelton JA, et al. Prevalence of Obesity and Severe
- 359 Obesity in US Children, 1999–2016. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(3).
- 360 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21497</u>
- 361
  4. Fryar CD, Carroll MD, Ahluwalia N, et al. Fast food intake among children and
  362 adolescents in the United States, 2015-2018. *NCHS Data Brief*; 2020:(375):1–8.
- 363 Accessed February 25, 2022. https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/ 92755.
- 364 5. Jia P, Luo M, Li Y, et al. Fast-food restaurant, unhealthy eating, and childhood obesity: a
- 365 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev*; 2021:22 (S1):e12944.
- 366 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12944</u>
- 367 6. Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Levy RB, et al. Ultra-processed foods: what they are and how
  368 to identify them. *Public Health Nutr*. 2019:(5):936
- 369 941. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/s1368980018003762</u>
- 370 7. Wang L, Martínez Steele E, Du M, et al. Trends in Consumption of Ultra-processed
- Foods Among US Youths Aged 2-19 Years, 1999-2018. *JAMA*; 2021:326(6):519–530.
- 372 doi:10.1001/jama.2021.10238. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzaa061\_131</u>

	19
373	8. Poti JM, Slining MM, Popkin BM. Where are kids getting their empty calories? Stores,
374	schools, and fast-food restaurants each played an important role in empty calorie intake
375	among US children during 2009-2010. J Acad Nutr Diet; 2014:114: 908-917.
376	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2013.08.012
377	9. Braithwaite I, Stewart AW, Hancox RJ, et al. Fast-food consumption and body mass
378	index in children and adolescents: an international cross-sectional study. BMJ Open;
379	2014. 4:e005813. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005813. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-
380	2014-005813
381	10. Bowman SA, Gortmaker SL, Ebbeling CB, et al. Effects of fast-food consumption on
382	energy intake and diet quality among children in a national household survey. Pediatrics;
383	2004:113(1 Pt 1):112-18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.113.1.112</u>
384	11. Rummo PE, Wu E, McDermott ZT, et al. Relationship between retail food outlets near
385	public schools and adolescent obesity in New York City. Health Place; 2020:65:102408.
386	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2020.102408
387	12. Oreskovic NM, Winickoff JP, Kuhlthau KA, et al. Obesity and the built environment
388	among Massachusetts children. Clin Pediatr; 2009:48(9) 904-912.
389	https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922809336073

390 13. Davis B, Carpenter C. Proximity of fast-food restaurants to schools and adolescent

- 391 obesity. *Am J Public Health*; 2009:99(3):505-510.
- 392 https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2008.137638
- 393 14. Howard PH, Fitzpatrick M, Fulfrost B. Proximity of food retailers to schools and rates of
- 394 overweight ninth grade students: An ecological study in California. *BMC Public Health*;
- 395 2011:11:68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-68</u>

ourn	$\mathbf{Dr}_{t}$	nr	$\sim$
ourn			U.

	20
15. Simon PA, Kwan D, Angelescu A, et al. Proximity of fast food restaurants to schools	: do

- neighborhood income and type of school matter? *Prev Med*; 2008:47(3):284-8.
- 398 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2008.02.021</u>

396

- 399 16. Boehm R, Cooksey Stowers K, Schneider GE, et al. Race, Ethnicity, and Food
- 400 Environment Are Associated with Adolescent Sugary Drink Consumption During a 5-
- 401 Year Community Campaign. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities; 2021:1-12.
- 402 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-021-01074-9</u>
- 403 17. Powell LM & Nguyen BT. Fast-food and full-service restaurant consumption among
- 404 children and adolescents. JAMA Pediatr; 2013:167(1): 14-
- 405 20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.417</u>
- 406 18. Cubbin C, Jun J, Margerison-Zilko C, et al. Social inequalities in neighborhood
- 407 conditions: spatial relationships between sociodemographic and food environments in
- 408 Alameda County, California. *J Maps*; 2012:8(4): 344-348.
- 409 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2012.747992</u>
- 410 19. Kwate NOA & Loh JM. Separate and unequal: The influence of neighborhood and school
- 411 characteristics on spatial proximity between fast food and schools. *Prev Med*;
- 412 2010:51:153-156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.04.020</u>
- 413 20. Chen HJ, Wang Y. Changes in the neighborhood food store environment and children's
- 414 body mass index at peripuberty in the United States. *J Adolesc Health*. 2016 Jan
- 415 1;58(1):111-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2015.09.012</u>
- 416 21. Fleming-Milici F, and Harris JL. Television food advertising viewed by preschoolers,
- 417 children and adolescents: contributors to differences in exposure for black and white

- 418 youth in the United States. *Pediatr Obes*; 2018:13:103-110.
- 419 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12203</u>
- 420 22. Richmond TK, Spadano-Gasbarro JL, Walls CE, et al. Middle school food environments
- 421 and racial/ethnic differences in sugar-sweetened beverage consumption: Findings from
- 422 the Healthy Choices study. *Prev Med*; 2013:57: 735-738.
- 423 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.09.001</u>
- 424 23. Elbel B, Tamura K, McDermott ZT, et al. Disparities in food access around homes and
  425 schools for New York City children. *PloS ONE*; 2019:14(6):e0217341.
- 426 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0217341
- 427 24. Skinner AC, Perrin EM, Moss LA & Skelton JA. Cardiometabolic risks and severity of
- 428 obesity in children and young adults. *N Engl J Med*; 2015: 373(14), pp.1307-1317.
- 429 <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1502821</u>
- 430 25. Sturm R. Disparities in the food environment surrounding US middle and high schools.
- 431 *Public Health*; 2008:122(7): 681-690. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2007.09.004</u>
- 432 26. Forsyth A, Wall M, Larson N, et al. Do adolescents who live or go to school near fast-
- 433 food restaurants eat more frequently from fast-food restaurants. *Health Place*;
- 434 2012:18:1261-1269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2012.09.005</u>
- 435 27. U.S. Department of Education. Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for
  436 Education Statistics.
- 437 28. Infogroup, Inc. Infogroup US Historical Business Data. 2016. V10. Harvard Dataverse.
- 438 <u>https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/PNOFKI</u>
- 439 29. U.S. Department of Commerce. North American Industry Classification System.
- 440 2017. <u>https://www.census.gov/naics/</u>

ourn	$\mathbf{D}_{r}$	n	
oum		μ.	U.

441	30. America's 50 Biggest Fast-Food Chains. QSR website.
442	https://www.qsrmagazine.com/content/americas-50-biggest-fast-food-chains. Accessed
443	November 18, 2022.
444	31. Number of quick service restaurants in the United States from 2011 to 2020 with a
445	forecast for 2021. Statista website. https://www.statista.com/statistics/196619/total-
446	number-of-fast-food-restaurants-in-the-us-since-2002/. Accessed November 18, 2022.
447	32. Esri Inc. (2020). ArcGIS Pro (Version 2.5). Esri Inc. https://www.esri.com/en-
448	us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/overview.
449	33. Manson S, Schroeder J, Van Riper D, Kugler T, Ruggles S. IPUMS National Historical
450	Geographic Information System: Version 16.0 [Census Tract Polygons 2000, 2010].
451	Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS. 2021. http://doi.org/10.18128/D050.V16.0
452	34. ACS Census Data Prepared by Social Explorer. https://socialexplorer.com. Accessed in
453	December of 2020
454	35. Census Regions and Divisions of the United States. US Census Bureau website.
455	https://www2.census.gov/geo/pdfs/maps-data/maps/reference/us_regdiv.pdf. Accessed
456	May 2022.
457	36. Chen HJ, Wang Y. The changing food outlet distributions and local contextual factors in
458	the United States. BMC Public Health. 2014:14:1-1. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-
459	<u>14-42</u>
460	37. Sanchez-Vaznaugh EV, Weverka A, Matsuzaki M, Sánchez BN. Changes in fast food
461	outlet availability near schools: unequal patterns by income, race/ethnicity, and
462	urbanicity. Am J Prev Med. 2019 Sep 1;57(3):338-45.
463	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2019.04.023

ourn	$\mathbf{D}_{r}$	n	rn	
oum		Ρ	ιU	

464	38. Singer J, Willett J. Applied Longitudinal Data Analysis: Modeling Change and Event
465	Occurrence. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2003.
466	39. SAS version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC
467	40. James P, Seward M, O'Malley AJ, et al. Changes in the food environment over time:
468	examining 40 years of data in the Framingham Heart Study. Int J of Behav Nutr Phys Act
469	2017:14:84. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0537-4_
470	41. James P, Arcaya MC, Parker DM, et al. Do minority and poor neighborhoods have higher
471	access to fast-food restaurants in the United States? Health Place; 2014:29:10-17.
472	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2014.04.011
473	42. Walker RE, Block J, Kawachi I. The spatial accessibility of fast food restaurants and
474	convenience stores in relation to neighborhood schools. Appl. Spat Anal Policy;
475	2014:7:169-182. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12061-013-9095-6_
476	43. Cohen JFW, Rimm EB, Davison KK, et al. The role of parents and children in meal
477	selection and consumption in quick service restaurants. Nutrients; 2020:12.3: 735.

- 478 https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12030735
- 479 44. Ziegler AM, Kasprzak CM, Mansouri TH, et al. An Ecological Perspective of Food
- 480 Choice and Eating Autonomy Among Adolescents. *Front Psychol*; 2021:12:654139.
- 481 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.654139</u>
- 482 45. Grier SA, Kumanyika S. Targeted marketing and public health. *Annu Rev Public Health*;
- 483 2010:31:349–369. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.012809.103607</u>
- 484 46. Cohen JFW, Cooksey Stowers K, Rohmann M, et al. Marketing to Children inside quick
- 485 service restaurants: differences by community demographics. *Am J Prev Med*;
- 486 2021:61(1): 96-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2021.01.035</u>

- 487 47. Harris JL. Targeted food marketing to Black and Hispanic consumers: the tobacco
- 488 playbook. *Am J Public Health*; 2020:110(3):271-2.
- 489 <u>https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2019.305518</u>
- 490

Table 1. School-level, community, and regional characteristics of US public schools and the proximity to quick-service restaurants(QSRs) during the 2006 - 2007 school year (N = 80,098)

Measures	Elementary	Middle	K – 8	K – 12	Middle/High	High
	(n=40,383)	(n=14,050)	(n=5,359)	(n=2,315)	(n=4,276)	(n=13,715)
Urbanicity, % <sup>a</sup>				A		
Urban	63.2	56.7	50.3	45.9	36.1	51.9
Suburban	10.7	12.4	11.1	9.9	12.9	12.4
Large rural	11.9	12.5	11.9	14.5	15.4	12.6
Small town/rural	14.2	18.5	26.5	29.7	35.7	23.2
Poverty, % <sup>b</sup> ,	$10.8 \pm 10.3$	10.9 ± 10.1	13.8 ± 12.2	$13.2 \pm 11.2$	$12.1 \pm 10.2$	$11.3 \pm 10.3$
Mean $\pm$ SD <sup>c</sup>						
<b>Population Density</b> <sup>d</sup> ,	3630 ± 8376	3066 ± 8643	5614 ± 12141	2859 ± 8936	2288 ± 8765	$3060 \pm 8598$
Mean ± SD						
Race & ethnicity, % <sup>e</sup>						
Mean ± SD						
American Indian or	$1.4\pm6.7$	$1.9\pm8.2$	$3.7 \pm 12.3$	$7.9\pm25.5$	$2.3\pm9.8$	$2.5\pm9.8$
Alaska Native						

		Jo	ournal Pre-proof			
Asian or Native	$4.7 \pm 9.3$	$3.7\pm7.7$	$3.3 \pm 8.3$	$2.6\pm8.6$	$1.5 \pm 5.0$	$3.3 \pm 7.4$
Hawaiian or Other						
Pacific Islander						
Black or African	$14.4\pm22.4$	$13.8\pm21.1$	$20.3\pm31.1$	$14.2\pm22.5$	$13.5\pm23.1$	$13.8\pm22.1$
American						
Hispanic or Latino	$19.5\pm26.3$	$16.4\pm23.8$	$20.1\pm27.2$	12.6 ± 19.2	$11.5\pm20.8$	$15.2\pm22.9$
White	$59.9\pm33.4$	$63.9\pm31.6$	52.6 ± 37.8	56.4 ± 35.1	$68.9\pm33.7$	$63.2 \pm 33.1$
QSRs <sup>f</sup> in 400m,	$0.1\pm0.5$	$0.1\pm0.5$	$0.2 \pm 0.6$	$0.2 \pm 0.6$	$0.1 \pm 0.6$	$0.2\pm0.8$
Mean $\pm$ SD						
QSRs in 800m,	$0.5 \pm 1.2$	0.5 ± 1.2	0.7 ± 1.6	$0.6 \pm 2.0$	$0.5 \pm 1.6$	$0.7 \pm 1.9$
Mean $\pm$ SD						
QSRs by Region, % <sup>g</sup>		20-				
Northeast	16.0	15.7	19	11.7	13.7	15.1
Midwest	24.3	24.9	23.3	19.3	37.5	25.8
South	35.9	38.3	26.3	35.5	30.8	35.2
West	23.9	21.1	31.5	33.5	18	24

<sup>a</sup> Urbanicity is derived from the RUCA codes measuring urbanization. Urbanization was based on a classification scale from 1-10 (ranging from urban to rural) and further broken down into a scale of 1-4, where 1 =urban; 2, 3 =suburban, 4, 5, 6 =large rural; and 7, 8, 9, 10 =small town/rural.<sup>33</sup>

<sup>b</sup> The percentage of poverty in the community surrounding the school was obtained from data from Social Explorer at the census tract level.<sup>34</sup> <sup>c</sup> SD, Standard Deviation.

<sup>d</sup> Population density is the census tract population density per square mile surrounding each school.

<sup>e</sup> The percentage of race and ethnicity of students within each school was calculated from data from NCES.<sup>27</sup> The race category 'Black or African American' excluded students who were also classified as Hispanic or Latino.

<sup>f</sup>QSRs, quick-service restaurants.

<sup>g</sup> Regions are based on the U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistical Administration US Census Bureau: Census Regions and Divisions of the United States.<sup>35</sup>

Table 2. Association between the mean number of QSRs<sup>a</sup> near public schools in the US by region, school type and school- and community-level demographics for the 2006-2007 school year<sup>b</sup>

	Mean number of QSRs		Mean number of QSRs	
Variables	within 400m° (SE) <sup>d</sup>	p-value	within 800m (SE)	p-value
Region <sup>e</sup>				
Northeast (Ref) <sup>f</sup>	0.20 (0.01)		0.71 (0.03)	
Midwest	0.16 (0.01)	0.0006	0.63 (0.02)	0.006
South	0.15 (0.01)	<.0001	0.58 (0.02)	<.0001
West	0.15 (0.01)	<.0001	0.60 (0.02)	0.002
School Type	$\bigcirc$			
Elementary (Ref)	0.12 (0.004)	,	0.52 (0.01)	
Middle	0.14 (0.01)	<.0001	0.58 (0.01)	<.0001
K – 8	0.14 (0.01)	0.002	0.55 (0.02)	0.08
K – 12	0.22 (0.01)	<.0001	0.76 (0.03)	<.0001
Middle/High	0.17 (0.01)	<.0001	0.66 (0.02)	<.0001
High	0.19 (0.01)	<.0001	0.70 (0.01)	<.0001
Percent Race and	ethnicity (Quartiles) <sup>g</sup>			
Black or African	American			
Q1(Ref)	0.16 (0.01)		0.61 (0.01)	
Q2	0.16 (0.01)	0.53	0.61 (0.01)	0.82

0.85

0.43

0.65 (0.01)

0.64 (0.02)

0.003

0.05

Q3

 $Q4^{h}$ 

0.17 (0.01)

0.17 (0.01)

Hispanic or Latino				
Q1(Ref)	0.15 (0.01)		0.57 (0.01)	
Q2	0.16 (0.01)	0.01	0.60 (0.01)	0.008
Q3	0.17 (0.01)	0.0003	0.67 (0.02)	<.0001
Q4	0.18 (0.01)	0.0003	0.68 (0.02)	<.0001
Percent Pover	rty <sup>i</sup>			
Q1(Ref)	0.16 (0.01)		0.60 (0.02)	
Q2	0.17 (0.01)	0.009	0.64 (0.01)	0.002
Q3	0.17 (0.01)	0.08	0.66 (0.01)	<.0001
Q4	0.16 (0.01)	0.23	0.62 (0.01)	0.25

<sup>a</sup> QSR, quick-service restaurant.

<sup>b</sup> Results are calculated using a multi-level model, including census tract as a random effect, and adjusted for population density and school demographics.

<sup>c</sup> Calculated using Least Squares Means.

<sup>d</sup> SE, Standard Error.

<sup>e</sup> Regions are based on the U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistical

Administration US Census Bureau: Census Regions and Divisions of the United States.<sup>35</sup>

<sup>f</sup>Ref, reference group.

<sup>g</sup> The percentage of race and ethnicity of students within each school was calculated from data from NCES. <sup>27</sup> The race category 'Black or African American' excluded students who were also classified as Hispanic or Latino.

<sup>h</sup> Q4 has the highest percentage of student race and ethnicity per school and percentage of poverty per community.

<sup>i</sup> The percentage of poverty in the community surrounding the school was obtained from data from Social Explorer at the census tract level. <sup>34</sup>

Table 3. The mean annual change in QSRs <sup>a</sup> within 400m of public schools by school type

	·	· · · · ·
Variables	β-coefficient (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	p-value
QSR (overall)	0.003 (0.003 to 0.004)	<.0001
Region <sup>d</sup>		
Northeast	Reference	
Midwest	-0.03 (-0.05 to -0.008)	0.007
South	-0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03)	<.0001
West	-0.06 (-0.08 to -0.04)	<.0001
School Type		.01
Elementary	Reference	
Middle	0.03 (0.02 to 0.03)	<.0001
K-8	0.02 (0.02 to 0.03)	<.0001
K-12	0.14 (0.14 to 0.15)	<.0001
Middle/High	0.09 (0.08 to 0.09)	<.0001
High	0.11 (0.10 to 0.11)	<.0001
Percent Race and	ethnicity <sup>e</sup>	
Black or African		
American		
Q1	Reference	
02	-0.003 (-0.007 to 0.001)	0.18

and school- and community-level characteristics  $(2006-2018)^b$ 

Q1	Reference	
Q2	-0.003 (-0.007 to 0.001)	0.18
Q3	0.0005 (-0.004 to 0.005)	0.84
$Q4^{\rm f}$	0.008 (0.002 to 0.01)	0.004

Hispanic or La	tino	
Q1	Reference	
Q2	0.01 (0.008 to 0.02)	<.0001
Q3	0.03 (0.02 to 0.03)	<.0001
Q4	0.05 (0.04 to 0.06)	<.0001

# Percent Poverty<sup>g</sup>

Q1	Reference	&
Q2	0.004 (-0.00002 to 0.007)	0.05
Q3	0.01 (0.005 to 0.014)	<.0001
Q4	-0.002 (-0.006 to 0.003)	0.50

<sup>a</sup> QSR, quick-service restaurant.

<sup>b</sup> Results are calculated using mixed-model ANOVA, including census tract as a random effect and school as a repeated measure and adjusted for population density and school demographics. <sup>c</sup>  $\beta$ -coefficient is the mean annual change in the number of QSRs within 400m of schools (e.g., for every year, the mean number of QSRs increased by 0.003. Over the twelve-year study, the mean increase in QSRs within 400m of schools was 0.036).

<sup>d</sup> Regions are based on the U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistical
 Administration US Census Bureau: Census Regions and Divisions of the United States. <sup>35</sup>
 <sup>e</sup> The percentage of race and ethnicity of students within each school was calculated from data
 from NCES.<sup>27</sup> The race category 'Black or African American' excluded students who were also
 classified as Hispanic or Latino.

<sup>f</sup> Q4 has the highest percentage of student race and ethnicity per school and percentage of poverty per community.

<sup>g</sup> The percentage of poverty in the community surrounding the school was obtained from data from Social Explorer at the census tract level. <sup>34</sup>

Supplementary Table 4. The mean annual change in QSRs<sup>a</sup> within 400m of public schools

by yearly increase for race and ethnicity and poverty (2006-2018)<sup>b</sup>

Variables	β-coefficient (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	p-value

Percent race and ethnicity<sup>d</sup>

**Black or African** 

American

Q1	Reference	
Q2	-0.003 (-0.007 to 0.001)	0.18
Q3	0.0005 (-0.004 to 0.005)	0.84
Q4 <sup>e</sup>	0.008 (0.002 to 0.01)	0.004
Hispanic or Latin		
Q1	Reference	
Q2	0.01 (0.008 to 0.02)	<.0001
Q3	0.03 (0.02 to 0.03)	<.0001
Q4	0.05 (0.04 to 0.06)	<.0001
Percent Poverty <sup>f</sup>		
Q1	Reference	
02	0.004 (-0.00002 to 0.007)	0.05

Q2	0.004 (-0.00002 to 0.007)	0.05
Q3	0.01 (0.005 to 0.014)	<.0001
Q4	-0.002 (-0.006 to 0.003)	0.50

# School Type

Elementary	Reference	
Middle	0.03 (0.02 to 0.03)	<.0001

K-8	0.02 (0.02 to 0.03)	<.0001
K-12	0.14 (0.14 to 0.15)	<.0001
Middle/High	0.09 (0.08 to 0.09)	<.0001
High	0.11 (0.10 to 0.11)	<.0001
Region		
Northeast	Reference	
Midwest	-0.03 (-0.05 to -0.008)	0.007
South	-0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03)	<.0001
West	-0.06 (-0.08 to -0.04)	<.0001
Interactions		0
Q1 Hispanic or	Reference	
Latino * Year		
Q2 Hispanic or	-0.0005 (-0.002 to 0.0005)	0.34
Latino * Year		
Q3 Hispanic or	0.001 (0.0002 to 0.002)	0.03
Latino * Year		
Q4 Hispanic or	0.006 (0.004 to 0.007)	<.0001
Latino * Year		
Q1 Black or	Reference	

Year

Q2 Black or	-0.0001 (-0.001 to 0.0009)	0.80
African American *		
Year		
Q3 Black or	-0.00002 (-0.001 to 0.001)	0.97
African American *		
Year		
Q4 Black or	0.002 (0.0008 to 0.003)	0.0006
African American *		
Year		
Q1 Poverty * Year	Reference	0
Q2 Poverty * Year	-0.002 (-0.003 to -0.0008)	0.0005
Q3 Poverty * Year	0.0008 (-0.0002 to 0.002)	0.13
Q4 Poverty * Year	0.002 (0.001 to 0.003)	0.0001

<sup>a</sup> QSR, quick-service restaurant.

<sup>b</sup> Results are calculated using mixed-model ANOVA, including county as a random effect and school as a repeated measure and adjusted for population density and school demographics. <sup>c</sup>  $\beta$ -coefficient is the mean annual change in the number of QSRs within 400m of schools. <sup>d</sup> The percentage of race and ethnicity of students within each school was calculated from data from NCES.<sup>27</sup> The race category 'Black or African American' excluded students who were also classified as Hispanic or Latino.

<sup>e</sup> Q4 has the highest percentage of student race and ethnicity per school and percentage of poverty per community.

<sup>f</sup> The percentage of poverty in the community surrounding the school was obtained from data from Social Explorer at the census tract level. <sup>34</sup>



Figure 1. The percent of schools<sup>a</sup> with quick service restaurants (QSRs) within 400m during the 2006-2007 school year by poverty levels, population density, race, and ethnicity<sup>b</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> "All schools" includes elementary, middle, K-12, middle/high, and high schools; "High schools" include includes K-12, middle/high (grades 6-12) and high schools (grades 9-12). <sup>b</sup> Examining the schools within the highest proportion of Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, and White students and the highest and lowest proportion of families at or below the poverty level (based on quartiles) and schools in areas with the highest and lowest population densities (based on deciles). The percentage of race and ethnicity of students within each school was calculated from data from NCES.<sup>27</sup> The race category 'Black or African American' excluded students who were classified as Hispanic or Latino.



Figure 2. Change in the percentage of schools with high school students<sup>a</sup> within 400m of quick service restaurants (QSRs) by race, ethnicity, and poverty levels<sup>b</sup> (2006-2018)

<sup>a</sup> Includes K-12, middle/high and high schools.

<sup>b</sup> Examining the schools within the highest proportion of Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, and White students and the highest and lowest proportion of families at or below the poverty level (based on quartiles). The percentage of race and ethnicity of students within each school was calculated from data from NCES.<sup>27</sup> The race category 'Black or African American' excluded students who were classified as Hispanic or Latino.

<sup>c</sup> %-pt, percentage point

Journal Pre-proof